

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,831		10/11/2001	Kamal Acharya	NETS0085	3000
22862	7590	10/10/2006		EXAMINER	
GLENN PA			DUNHAM, JASON B		
3475 EDISON WAY, SUITE L MENLO PARK, CA 94025			•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3625	

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/975,831 ACHARYA ET AL  Examiner Art Unit		ACHARYA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary							
		Jason B. Dunhar	'	3625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	dress			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CC 36(a). In no event, howe vill apply and will expire a , cause the application to	OMMUNICATION. Ever, may a reply be timel SIX (6) MONTHS from the Decome ABANDONED	ly filed e mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 At</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-finance except for for	mal matters, pros		merits is			
Disposition of Claims								
5)	Claim(s) 1,3, and 6-25 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	vn from consider						
9)□.	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extended to be a second or declaration.	epted or b)  obj drawing(s) be held ion is required if the	in abeyance. See 3	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment		—						
2) Notice Notice Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Pat Other:	)				

Art Unit: 3625

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments regarding the finality of the office action dated June 20, 2006 are persuasive and the previous finality has been withdrawn.

Claims 1,3, and 6-25 are pending and claims 1,22, and 23 have been amended.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3, and 6-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pricescan (PTO-892, Ref. U) in view of Biswas (US 6,594,666).

Referring to claim 1. The combination of Pricescan and Biswas discloses a method for providing an integrated electronic list of providers, comprising:

- Determining at least one online provider for an item (Pricescan: page 4);
- Determining at least one offline provider for an item (Pricescan: page 4);
- Wherein the determining steps follow a request for a product (Pricescan: page 10);
- Wherein when the request is for a desired geographical area, a user may choose one of several target locations, which have been previously created and stored (Biswas: abstract and column 5, lines 25-30);

Page 3

- Wherein when the request is for a predetermined proximity to a target location,
   the user may choose a radius for said target location (Biswas: abstract and
   column 5, lines 25-30); and
- Integrating the at least one online provider with the at least one offline provider to provide an integrated list of providers for the item (Pricescan: page 4); and
- Distinguishing online providers from offline providers in said integrated list (Pricescan: page 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to have modified the method of Pricescan to have included requests for geographical areas wherein a user may choose a target location and requests for a proximity to a target location wherein a user may choose a radius to said target, as taught by Biswas, in order to allow the user to receive comparison shopping within a geographic area of interest.

Referring to claim 3. The combination of Pricescan and Biswas further discloses a method wherein the request is for a product category (Pricescan: Page 3).

Referring to claim 6. The combination of Pricescan and Biswas further discloses a method including providing comparison information for the at least one online provider and the at least one offline provider for the item (Pricescan: pages 3-5).

Referring to claims 7-13. The combination of Pricescan and Biswas further discloses methods wherein the comparison information includes price, rating, review, availability, promotion, electronic wallet, and return-policy comparison (Pricescan: pages 3-12).

Application/Control Number: 09/975,831

Art Unit: 3625

Referring to claim 14. The combination of Pricescan and Biswas further discloses a method providing comparison information about at least two offline providers (Pricescan: page 4).

Referring to claims 15-16. The combination of Pricescan and Biswas further discloses a method wherein the comparison information includes in-store return and pick-up policies of online purchase (Pricescan: page 4).

Referring to claims 17-18. The combination of Pricescan and Biswas further discloses a method wherein the comparison information includes special promotions and coupon availability information (Pricescan: page 4).

Referring to claims 19-20. The combination of Pricescan and Biswas further discloses a method wherein the comparison information includes proximity and direction to the at least two offline providers (Biswas: column 5, lines 25-30).

Referring to claim 21. The combination of Pricescan and Biswas further discloses a method wherein the comparison information includes user review (Pricescan: page 12).

Referring to claims 22-23. Claims 22-23 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above.

Referring to claim 24. The combination of Pricescan and Biswas further discloses a system implemented on a network environment (Pricescan: pages 3-12).

Referring to claim 25. The combination of Pricescan and Biswas further discloses a system wherein the network environment comprises a global communications network (Pricescan: pages 3-12).

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 9, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the combination of Pricescan and Biswas do not disclose claim 1 as amended to include "distinguishing online providers from offline providers in said integrated list". The examiner notes page 4 of Pricescan disclosing tracking down vendors through traditional advertisements and catalogs, "regardless of whether or not the vendor has a web site" and entering the information into a searchable database. It would have been obvious to list the vendors that are solely brick and mortar stores.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,831

Art Unit: 3625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason B. Dunham whose telephone number is 571-272-8109. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-5.

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Smith can be reached on 571-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JBD Patent Examiner 9/28/06

MILL